Full-color monolithic micro-LED displays

Pixels combine RGB sub-pixels via stacking and selective material etch and regrowth.



Technology focus: LEDs 65



Figure 2. (a)–(c) EL spectra of red, green and blue sub-pixels, respectively, over 10–200_A current range. (d) Chromaticity diagram. (e) EL images. (f) Current-voltage characteristics for each sub-pixel color.

high-resolution micro-displays."

Although the regrowth steps used can be seen as increasing process complexity and costs, the team argues "other methods that do not require regrowth, combining blue monolithic micro-LEDs with quantum dots requires materials and equipment different from conventional semiconductor processes, and stacking RGB epitaxial layers via tunnel junctions needs complex driving circuits." The micro-display market is growing with gradual performance enhancements hopefully expanding applications beyond virtual reality goggles and electronic view-finders. To allow use in sunlight, such as for augmented reality glasses, higher-brightness displays are needed. Presently, commercial micro-displays are organic LED based, but higher brightness would probably be better delivered by monolithic InGaN micro-LEDs.

66 Technology focus: LEDs



Figure 3. Display image of monolithic micro-LED array.

The material for the micro-display chips was grown by metal-organic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD) on patterned sapphire (Figure 1). The p-type electrodes for the separate RGB layers were applied in a regrowth step after selective removal of the material grown in the initial MOCVD.

Further fabrication involved mesa isolation, ITO (indium tin oxide) p-electrode deposition and isolation, silicon dioxide (SiO₂) passivation, and n- and p-metal bond pads.

The team reports that it has added two additional dry-etch steps over its previous micro-display work: "removing n-GaN and isolating each row for passive matrix driving and removing the p-type layer between adjacent sub-pixels." Without the p-electrode isolation, the devices suffered from unintended emissions between adjacent sub-pixels.

The researchers comment: "Each row in a connected to a common is connected to a cathode, eliminating the need to remove the n-GaN between adjacent sub-pixels within them; only the p-type layer is removed. However, the n-GaN between the rows needs to be removed

Each row in a passive matrix circuit is passive matrix circuit common cathode, eliminating the need to remove the n-GaN the same row to isolate between adjacent subpixels within the same row to isolate them; only the p-type layer is removed

for the passive matrix circuit to function correctly."

The completed chips consisted of a 96x96 pixel array with a 2.88mmx2.88mm total display area. The chip itself measured 3mmx3mm.

Under probing experiments (Figure 2), the team found wavelength shifts of 21nm, 23nm and 8nm, respectively, for the RGB sub-pixels, between 20µA and 200µA current injection. The drive voltages were around 0.7V lower for R pixels against the GB pixels, explainable by the narrower 2.1eV bandgap for the R active material versus 2.7eV for B. The G pixel's drive voltage was around or even higher than that for B, "which is presumed to be due to the process of forming the multi-color structure," the researchers explain.

Indirect estimates for the external quantum efficiencies (EQEs) are given as 0.2%, 2% and 3% for the RGB pixels, based on comparison with regular-size LEDs. Direct assessment is hampered by the difficulty posed by micro-emission measurements.

The researchers comment: "The efficiency of red is one order of magnitude lower than the other colors due to the difficulty of InGaN red emission, and the efficiency of green and blue is also lower than the monochromatic ones due to the process specific to the structure considered in this study."

The team also reports: "The resulting color gamut covered 57.6% of ITU-R Recommendation BT.2020 (BT.2020) and 69.9% of the National Television System Committee (NTSC) standard." The gamut was much narrower than the group's previous work achieving 95.4% NTSC coverage. "This reduction is primarily attributed to a shorter red-emission wavelength, resulting from both the quality of the red-light-emitting layer and high current injection," the researchers write, adding: "This limitation can be addressed by adjusting the red-light-emitting layer."

The chips were wired up in a passive-matrix format and connected to a driver circuit that included a Teensy 4.1 microcontroller, which generated the serial data and clock signals. The data were converted into parallel format to drive the individual pixels via shift-register circuits and transistor arrays.

The team rendered a 4-bit grayscale RGB image via pulse-width modulation (PWM) of a cardinal tetra fish (Figure 3). The blue/red color of this species in the image suffered from the low quality of the red channel. This could possibly be corrected with more precise current and/or pulse-width adjustments to compensate for the lower R pixel efficiency performance.

There were also non-emitting regions in the periphery of the chip, due apparently to insufficient bonding pressure during the chip-to-submount bonding process.

https://doi.org/10.35848/1882-0786/adb5ec https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cardinal_tetra Author: Mike Cooke